



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,641	03/23/2007	Lionel Denecheau	FR9-2002-0038-US1	8710
49056 7590 05/29/2009 LIEBERMAN & BRANDSDORFER, LLC 802 STILL CREEK LANE GAITHERSBURG, MD 20878				
EXAMINER				
ELLIOTT IV, BENJAMIN H				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2416				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/29/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/596,641		Applicant(s) DENECHAU ET AL.	
	Examiner BENJAMIN ELLIOTT		Art Unit 2416	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BENJAMIN ELLIOTT.

(3) ROCHELLE LIEBERMAN.

(2) IAN MOORE.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 19 May 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 23,30,31 and 38-40.

Identification of prior art discussed: Port and Grow.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion was made to issues raised in Office action mailed 2/20/2009 with regards to 112 2nd. Applicant representative and examiner agreed to proposals made appeared to overcome the rejections. Discussion was made to issues raised in Office action with regards to 103 rejections. Applicant representative and Examiner were in agreement the proposed amendments would appear to overcome prior art references used to reject claims..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ian N. Moore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2416	/B.E/
---	-------